**FACTOM**

**COMMUNITY**

**MEETING MINUTES**

**Factom Guides**

**MEETING #9**

**2018-06-17**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| VERSION | DATE | CHANGED BY | CHANGES |
| 0.1 | 2018-04-07 | Tor Hogne Paulsen | First draft for guide review. |
| 1.0 | 2018-04-09 | Tor Hogne Paulsen | Version for general use in the Factom community. |
| 1.1 | 2018-04-12 | Tor Hogne Paulsen | Added more fields for metadata. |
| 1.2 | 2018-04-15 | David Chapman | Updated, “Chairman” and, “Secretary” fields. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Note: This version control is for the Template, not the individual meeting minutes.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date and time of meeting** |  |
| **Date minutes drafted** |  |
| **Date minutes approved** |  |
| **Organization/Team** | Factom Guides |
| **Attendees** | Factom inc (Brian Deery), Centis BV (Niels Klomp), DBGrow Inc (Julian), Canonical ledgers (Sam), |
| **Members not in attendance** |  |
| **Other attendees** |  |
| **Meeting Leader** |  |
| **Meeting Secretary** | THE 42ND FACTOID LTD |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 0** | * **Roll Call** * **Approval of minutes from previous meeting on (2018-06-08).** |
| **Discussion** | Roll call performed and all guides, besides Tor, present. Meeting minutes from 2018-06-08 approved**.** |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 1** | **Canonical Ledgers Discloses Potential COI** |
| **Discussion** | **Sam: CL doing project with factomize. A signed contract, official now, I want to disclose that according to 2.2.8, recusing from any decisions with a potential COI.** |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 2** | **Vote on Guide Remuneration Amendment (Section 4.5, Governance document)** |
| **Discussion** | Guide Remuneration Grant Guides will be compensated 600 FCT per month via the grant pool. Guide remuneration will be reviewed three months from the implementation of this amendment.  Brian: It talks about implementation, what does that mean?  Niels: in what regard? Brian: For proposed amendment to guide to governance doc  Sam: Proposed amendment states “implementation”, what point in time does that refer to, when its ratified? Niels: I believe that means ratified. We vote now, then ANOS ratify, that's when we get to combine vote total. It should read ratified. Brian; Why does this need to happen in an ANO meeting, why not Factomize forum like before for other ANO. Niels: You could say that it already has been voted on by ANOs, open vote on Factomize. We could do it on the forum as well.  Sam: Seems easier on forum, you can give 24, 48 hrs to see it. At ANO meetings we might not have all 21 present.  Brian: Last time rushed  Sam: Ran out of time at the meeting  Niels: I'm okay with that  Sam: Full 48 hours to vote, that will be plenty of time. ANOs have already reviewed. Already informally accepted it. We already had polls on each section within this amendment. This is just a final formality to follow the governance document.  Sam: Do we want to change the text from implementation to ratification?  Guides agree  Updated Amendment:  Guide Remuneration Grant Guides will be compensated 600 FCT per month via the grant pool. Guide remuneration will be reviewed three months from the ratification of this amendment.  Sam: This will be under 4.5, under grants  Brian: Went through started to make another change, section was initial grants, 4.4.1. Being an initial grant, scope is limited.  Sam: Do we need to deprecate 4.4.1  Niels: 4.4.1 needs to be depreciated when this is ratified.  Sam: Part of this amendment should be to delete 4.4.1  Tor joins meeting  Briefs Tor on meeting so far.  Brian: Should we remove historical grants from initial grants section.  Tor: Idea is it should be a living document  Niels: Then we should make sure there is an end date once its implemented  Brian: Initially we were adding another section, for the next grants for guide pay, with the thought that this would be more keeping historical aspects of it. If we are talking about this as a living document, we may want to combine them all together.  Sam: It should be outside the initial grants. Having it in 4.5 is correct, as suggested by anos that put this together, we just need to figure out how to deprecate 4.4.1. Either delete it, or add a note its deprecated  Niels: Doc could be come complex if we go that route. Would be complex for new people reading gov docs if they are reading a bunch of deprecated stuff  Sam: I’m fine just deleting it.  MattO asks for floor, granted  Matt: Because we are doing the legal review of gov, things will be redone, we will figure out how to structure this going forward, and for now just a stop-gap until we review this gov doc.  Niels: I'm okay just having an end date to the old one until review  Brian: End date for 200 is specified. Start date for 600 is specified differently. What happens during that gap in time  Niels: old one should reference new one, say it is deprecated from then on. We could also vote on it now, have ANO vote, and do change next week.  Brian: no need to rush it.  Niels; Then we have an exact date.  Tor: 200 FCT remuneration ended on June 7th. Natural thing would be to make new pay valid from June 8th.  Brian: Ya  Sam: let me put in extra sentence, have you guys review it.  Sam: Guide remuneration will start 8th of june, will be reviewed in 3 months.  Updated Amendment:  Guide Remuneration Grant Guides will be compensated 600 FCT per month via the grant pool. Guide remuneration will start the 8th of June 2018 and will be reviewed three months from that date.  Sam: Ready to vote on this  Brian: Motion to accept text as updated: Sam: I second it  All guides say Aye  Sam: I'll take responsibility for bringing new text to ANOs, making a Factomize post for voting. |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 3** | **Questions from the floor:** |
| **Discussion** | Paul Discord: Do we have specs on initial grant implementation.  FCT for 3 months? (implementation in the protocol)  Paul requests floor:  Paul: I just want to know what exactly we have to implement, what i suggest is we calculate factoids for 3 months, i believe we need to issue to digital identity, we just need to lay out what we have to do as developers to implement, lots of decisions to make.  Niels: Should we have discussion on discord or forum?  Paul: keep in mind, the longer we wait the longer the tokens are tied up. I'd like to do on forum or discord, and try to get settled quickly, and then ratified. If we can decide quickly what the devs should do, we can go do it. We can have discussions in parallel, lets let devs start going.  David Discord: Paul, are you looking for an actual spec sheet for the devs? What specifically does Factom Inc want?  Brian: David has questions, but can probably be taken to the factomize forum Niels: Is david okay with that? David Discord: I’m fine with it. Just trying to understand exactly what paul wants. Im done. (Smiley).  Tor: Motion to adjourn  Brian: Seconded |
| **Conclusion** | Discussion of initial grant implementation will be moved to forums or discord. Meeting Ended |
| **Follow up** |  |